

**Stratham Planning Board** 

**Meeting Minutes** 

March 4, 2015

Municipal Center, Selectmen's Meeting Room

10 Bunker Hill Avenue

Time: 7:00 PM

Bruno Federico, Selectmen's Representative

Mike Houghton, Chairman

Christopher Merrick, Alternate

Bob Baskerville, Vice Chairman

Lincoln Daley, Town Planner

Jameson Paine, Member

Nancy Ober, Alternate

Tom House, Member

3 4

1 2

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

Members Present: 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

27 28

32

38

39

40

41

42

25

## 1. Call to Order/Roll Call.

The Chairman took roll call.

- 2. Review/Approval of Meeting Minutes.
- 29 a. February 18, 2015.

Members Absent:

Staff Present:

- 30 Mr. Daley recommended tabling the minutes until March 18, 2015.
- 31 3. Public Meeting(s).
  - a. Work Session Site Plan & Subdivision Waiver Requests.

33 Mr. Daley explained that the catalyst for this is the frequency at which developers are 34 looking for waivers from the Land Use regulations. This is a way to provide the Board 35 with additional guidance on how to evaluate such requests in conjunction with the State 36 statutes. The outcome of this will most likely be a slight modification to the Land Use 37 regulations to be more in line with those State statutes.

> The current subdivision and site plan regulations include a provision that allows an applicant and a planning board to consider, and possibly waive the various regulations for both Land Use regulations. It requires a written submittal for the Town and to the Board for their evaluation. Under the current language, it really deals with the safety, public health and welfare for an individual property.

Under State statute RSA 674:36 Subdivision Regulations and RSA 674:44 Site Plan regulations, the Board is basically required to evaluate a waiver request using 2 standards which Mr. Daley read out.

Under State statute an applicant will be required to show how strict conformity with the regulations poses unnecessary hardship, and will not be contrary to the spirit and intent of the regulations. Mr. Daley quoted the Rollins Hill Farm development as an example of a developer who did this.

When a board accepts an application as complete, and discovers it needs the information that was waived per request, the applicant is required to provide that information.

Mr. Merrick asked if an applicant has to fill out a waiver request form for each waiver. Mr. Daley confirmed that they need to.

Mr. Daley said the Planning Board may attach conditions to waivers however he cautioned the Board to draft the conditions and make sure they are reasonable. At some point there needs to be a limit to the number of conditions associated with a waiver request; some are hard to enforce.

Mr. Houghton said what he struggles with is conditionally accepting an application while waiting for certain information. He referred to an application that came before the Board where they did this, but they didn't get a waiver from submission requirements. Mr. Houghton said they should go back to that applicant and get a waiver request for submission requirements. Mr. Daley advised that in the future, the Board should try and avoid accepting conditional applications.

Mr. Daley said the term "unnecessary hardship" is not quite the same as it applies to a variance application with the ZBA. It's more akin to a project with difficulty, but what constitutes an unnecessary hardship? He said the courts haven't provided a clear understanding, but there is general guidance which suggests they mean that it should be something that goes just beyond a mere inconvenience, and there must be a really good reason why a waiver is being requested; it must pose a difficult obstacle to the project with little or any effect on the public so the waiver won't violate the spirit or intent of the regulations.

Mr. Daley talked about the next criteria "the spirit and intent of the regulations". For this the rationale for the regulations should be considered and how the regulations affect the property in question relative to the posed waiver. Public health, safety and welfare should be considered also. A waiver may be approved if the circumstances of the development or conditions of the land indicate the waiver will carry out the intent and spirit of the ordinance.

In order for these 2 criteria to be incorporated into the regulations, at least one public hearing will be required. In addition the check lists will need to be modified. Mr. Daley said he had put together some draft language and will likely provide a step by step guide.

Mr. Daley said he had provided some planning board minutes from the Town of Brookline in New Hampshire which is one of the towns that has incorporated the new criteria. The minutes discussed a couple of cases where these criteria were used for waiver requests to reduce sight distance.

Ms. Breslin, resident asked for clarification about a public hearing being required to modify the regulations. Mr. Daley gave an explanation.

## b. Work Session – 2015 Planning Board Goals and Objectives.

Mr. Daley said he had put together a short list of Planning Board goals and objectives, some of which have already been started. The list includes storm water management regulations, streetscape design for the Gateway and Town Center districts, specs for the water and sewer that will hopefully come to the Gateway district, including who is responsible for installation, auto dealerships and parking lots for auto storage, the Master Plan, looking at administrative regulatory policies for the Town which comes from the Economic Development Committee (E.D.C.) The E.D.C. has been looking at how Stratham is viewed as a town by developers, property owners; as a business friendly community or one that is difficult and challenging to work with. A thought is to host a workshop with the EDC inviting developers, engineers, property owners, business owners to have a candid discussion about their views on this.

Mr. Daley continued discussing goals. He suggested exploring development opportunities with other towns; he gave an example of the Bauer building which is bisected by the Stratham/Exeter town line. He had reached out to Exeter who are now looking at making the Exeter part of the Industrial park into the same zone as Stratham's part. Other examples Mr. Daley talked about was possibly marketing the entire Route 108 corridor as one entity even if part of it does run through Exeter or working with Greenland with regards to Portsmouth Avenue.

He asked the Board if there were any additional items of interest they would like to explore. Mr. Federico said he thought they should do a study on the light at Bunker Hill Avenue to determine what possible funding sources there are other than D.O.T., builders, and the Town, and impact fees also. Mr. Deschaine said a report had already been done and the State supports it; the only lack of motivation is who is going to pay for it. Mr. Paine said he knows of communities that have paid for intersection improvements which got reimbursed about 20 years later, but it does improve the area in the short term which brings benefits to that town. If it's a roundabout versus a traffic light, the ascetic aspect should be considered too; it keeps traffic flowing, improves air quality and if power goes out, it is not an issue. Mr. Deschaine said it would probably be a wise thing to update the study to consider a roundabout option. Mr. Daley asked if there was a guarantee that the State will reimburse the money if it is paid up front. Mr. Paine said he believed there was a process in place, but it would be best to discuss it with the D.O.T. The problem Mr. Federico sees is that both Bunker Hill Avenue and Winnicutt Road are State roads leading onto another State road, and often times the Town has very little impact on the decision making for State roads. Mr. Daley said when the Town Center traffic study was done, it was given to D.O.T. for their review who were very positive about the roundabout idea.

Mr. Merrick suggested exploring the cost of putting the power lines in the Town Center underground. Mr. Paine said Newmarket had moved their power lines either underground or a row behind. Mr. Daley said it was a great idea; the challenges would be the diverse property owners, some of who are challenged to be vested in their own properties.

Mr. Federico suggested looking at some kind of regulations regarding dilapidated structures. Mr. Daley said some towns offer improvement grants, and asked if the Board would be interested in that. Mr. Federico said they had just introduced the tax incentive program, and asked if many property owners had taken advantage of that. Mr. Daley said there had been some interest, but nobody had actually applied.

Mr. Paine said he wondered if there was some way to improve the PRE zone as they are trying to do so with the Gateway and Town Center districts. Mr. Daley said looking at other communities; they have design standards for that district that would improve the architectural elevations in the PRE area. Mr. Daley asked if the Board wanted to think about green space location of structures in proximity to the Route 108. Mr. Paine said his thought is to make it more consistent with the areas around it and to look at design standards.

Mr. Paine asked if there was any way to enhance the industrial area or to assist the corporations who own businesses there. It seems to be a pretty successful area. Mr. Deschaine said that Lindt mentioned that if they were going to grow any more, they would need a parking structure. He thinks there are benefits to that, such as improved storm water management, and setbacks could be reduced possibly allowing for more parking structures. Mr. Paine asked if condominium type associations apply for the special commercial zone so they could have denser standards. Mr. Daley talked about the wetland issue; Exeter do a wetlands functionality scoring system to ascertain setbacks which allows for more development to occur. He isn't sure how the Conservation Commission would receive those changes. Mr. Houghton suggested a workshop with the Conservation Commission.

Another topic for consideration Mr. Daley said, was offering off-site mitigation to developers in exchange for a portion of buildable land so things like water and sewer can go in. Mr. Merrick thought it was a great idea. Mr. Paine said he knows Portsmouth has gone through all of their wetlands using a scale system.

Ms. Becky Mitchell, Chair of the Heritage Commission, said the Heritage Commission has been discussing the whole Route 33 corridor from the Town Center to the Greenland line. The Commission feels quite strongly that this is something that should be looked at. It's been 10 years since this was raised, and attitudes and conditions have changed quite a bit in that time. The Heritage Commission has been quite concerned by some of the decisions made by the ZBA, and it is sensitive to the fact that there is increased pressure on that area plus they don't want to see piece meal creeping commercialization. The Commission do want to take advantage of the assets that are there and support the situation the property owners are in. The first step would be to look at the area to be rezoned, and then the Heritage Commission would like to have some kind of study done to look at the historical assets so there is some guidance which will set some standards that the Commission could follow. Ms. Mitchell said the other thing that has changed is that form based code is more widely accepted nowadays. Ms. Mitchell said in the charrette process for the Master Plan, it presents an opportunity for community members to discuss this. Mr. Houghton said that the former college site could be a catalyst for nearby property owners wishing to change their properties into something more commercial.

Mr. Houghton felt the area to look at would be from Squamscott Road down to Greenland and from Squamscott Road to the Town Center which should have more of the Town Center character.

 Mr. Daley discussed time lines for the goals. Mr. Houghton said that streetscape details for the Gateway could wait a little longer until there's more definitive news on the water and sewer. Auto dealerships were discussed; Mr. Deschaine explained how auto franchising has changed over the years and perhaps it was better to define auto storage lots, and to think about what is the difference between storing goods and storing cars. Mr. Daley said he would like to look at the wetland issues this year with the Conservation Commission. Mr. Deschaine warned them of unintended consequences; sometimes it will move into areas that the Board designates areas as prime wetlands, and other wetland features that have a greater importance than they have now. Mr. Paine asked that when tackling off-site mitigation that the regulation state that off-site mitigation should be in the Town. Mr. Daley mentioned Mr. Gove's idea of a wetlands bank and wondered how long that would take to establish. Mr. Deschaine said it would depend on what the Board is trying to achieve; on-site would be preferable, but it is becoming less and less unlikely. There are also concerns about the watershed impact. A town should be prepared and have a list of properties for off-site mitigation, and if the money is put into the State managed ARM fund, the Town needs to make sure it gets first refusal. Mr. Deschaine said that Mr. Gove has even suggested reaching out to the Public Works Director for information on culverts with restricted water flow or areas with invasive species. Mr. Houghton asked if storm water management could be considered for mitigation. Mr. Daley said potentially, yes. Mr. Deschaine said he thinks developers are required to do it anyway as part of their site plan, but there are sites in Town that need storm water treatment.

Mr. Paine asked if the Town had received any updates about MS4 from the E.P.A. Mr. Deschaine said not since the fall of last year.

Mr. Daley said he thought it was worthwhile having a discussion about the rezoning of the Route 33 corridor, and see where it goes from there. He wasn't sure where the funding for a study would come from at the moment. He suggested leaving the power lines in the Town Center until next year or giving it to the Town Center Committee to tackle.

The Board thought the traffic light at Bunker Hill Avenue should be moved for now. Dilapidated buildings was discussed next. Mr. Deschaine said it could be difficult; he knows of one town that did something similar, but that was more due to the fact that they had a series of foreclosures in Town. Mr. Federico said he had read an article that Portsmouth were going to be implementing a dilapidated structure ordinance which will require the property owner to fix it up so it doesn't become a fire trap. Ms. Mitchell said there's also the concept of demolition by neglect.

Mr. Daley said he is happy to create a time line for all these goals and objectives.

Mr. Houghton said that some of these goals could be given to other boards and committees to do. The E.D.C. are spearheading the project of how businesses view Stratham.

## 4. Miscellaneous.

- a. Report of Officers/Committees.
  - i. Public Works Commission

Mr. Daley shared that Mr. Rob Roseen from GEO Syntechs will be before the Commission on March 19, 2015 to discuss the results of the W.I.S.E. project which is Watershed Integration Swampscott and Exeter. It is a multi-town collaborative effort looking at ways to evaluate what best management practices get the most bang for the buck in terms of water quality and nitrogen deposition. The other element is the role regionalism plays in the whole watershed. Great Bay, Squampscott River being impaired has hit home with this study because if Stratham, Exeter, and Newfields were to make every conservative effort to use every possible means available to them to reduce nitrogen close to the bay, Stratham would be just shy of reaching the nitrogen level for the mandated level. The E.P.A. will have to take up the residual authority. Mr. Deschaine said the storm water regulations should be based on the model presented on March 19 at 7:00 p.m. Mr. Daley encouraged the Board to attend.

b. Other.

Mr. Deschaine asked the Board if they would deny an applicant if when requesting a waiver, they didn't use the prescribed form. Mr. Merrick said they would have to use the waiver request form. Mr. Daley said using the form would be preferable.

Mr. Daley informed the Board that he would be out for about a month starting March 25, 2015 due to surgery. He is trying to work with R.P.C. to have a representative available to review applications and be in attendance at the meetings.

Ms. Ober said she will probably be absent for a month also due to an upcoming surgery.

## 28 5. Adjournment.

Mr. Paine made a motion to adjourn at 8:38 pm. Motion seconded by Mr. Merrick. Motion carried unanimously.